PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 04R00718WO00	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below					
International application No. PCT/JP2004/012201	International filing date (day/month/year) 25 August 2004 (25.08.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 27 August 2003 (27.08.2003)					
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237							
Applicant SHARP KABUSHIKI KAISHA							

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.					
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of capplicability	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invent	tion			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement un applicability; citations	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cite	ed.			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the in	nternational application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations or	n the international application			
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).					
			Date of issuance of this report 12 June 2006 (12.06.2006)			
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		ombettes	Authorized officer Yoshiko Kuwahara			
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35			Telephone No. +41 22 338 90 90			
Omn D	CT/IB/272 (Innuery 2004)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing 21.12.2004 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 04R00718W000 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2004/012201 25.08.2004 27.08.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H01N1/21, 1/44, G06F12/14, G06F12/14, G09C1/00 Applicant SHARP KABUSHIKI KAISHA This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Authorized officer Facsimile No. Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/012201

Bo	x No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addit	ional comments:
		·

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/012201

Box			ule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-5	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-5	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-5	YES
		Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP 2000-244753 A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.), 8 September 2000, entire text (Family: none)

Document 2: JP 11-275326 A (Canon Inc.), 8 October 1999, entire text (Family: none)

Document 3: JP 05-022614 A (Matsushita Graphic Communication Systems, Inc.), 29 January 1993, entire text (Family: none)

The invention set forth in claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 and 2 cited in the international search report. A person skilled in the art could easily conceive of using the constitution wherein a protected image is not deleted even when there is no available space in a memory box, the constitution wherein the input of a password is requested in order to carry out an operation on a protected image, and the constitution wherein a non-protected image is deleted, each of which is disclosed in document 2, with the constitution wherein image data stored in image memory is designated, and the designated image data is concealed, disclosed in document 1.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/012201

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The invention set forth in claims 2 and 3 does not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 3 cited in the international search report. A person skilled in the art could easily conceive of using the constitution wherein a determination as to whether or not to carry out concealment is made according to whether a concealment device is in an operational or a non-operational status, disclosed in document 3, with the constitution disclosed in document 1.

The invention set forth in claims 4 and 5 does not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 3 cited in the international search report. Document 1 discloses a constitution for encoding and decoding, and document 2 discloses a constitution for password input.